UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/767,452           | 01/29/2004            | Jean-Pierre Roux     | 04-11               | 3940             |
| 30030<br>JAMES R. WI | 7590 04/25/2007       |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 3103 WILMIN          | GTON ROAD             |                      | BROWN, DREW J       |                  |
| NEW CASTLE, PA 16105 |                       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                      |                       |                      | 3616                |                  |
|                      |                       |                      |                     |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR   | RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 3 MONTHS             |                       | 04/25/2007           | PAPER               |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| 4   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 10/767,452   | ROUX ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Drew J. Brown  | 3616  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC<br>R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT<br>atute, cause the application to become ABA | ATION.  Dly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $3$  | /7/07 (amendment).   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ T   | , <del></del>  |   |  |  |  |  |
| •   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-9 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  | drawn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2007</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje<br>the drawing(s) be held in abeyand<br>rection is required if the drawing(s   | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>  |  | /Mail Date formal Patent Application  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3616

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 3/7/07. New claims 8 and 9 have been added.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 recites that the airbag housing is situated "in register" with the breakable zone. This phrase renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear to the Examiner exactly how the airbag housing is situated with respect to the breakable zone.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakata (U.S. Pat. No. 6,698,820 B2).

Nakata discloses a motor vehicle side fender (14) having a skin (14E) separating an inside face (upper face of fender 14 as shown in Figure 1) and an outside face (lower face of fender 14 as shown in Figure 1), wherein the skin possesses a substantially rectangular (Figure 4)

Art Unit: 3616

breakable zone (32), which comprises a shallow groove (34), that is suitable for opening when subjected to thrust from the inside face towards the outside face of the fender.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakata.

Nakata discloses the claimed invention as discussed above but does not disclose that the fender is made of a plastics material or that the shallow groove (34) is provided on the inside face of the fender.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the fender out of a plastics material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position the groove on the inside face rather than the outside face as shown in Figure 1, where the outside face of the fender is uniform including over the groove, since it has been held that rearranging elements of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. Also, it appears that the invention would perform equally well whether the groove is placed on the inside face or the outside face of the fender.

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 8 and 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3616

# Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 3/7/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 4 Applicant argues that Nakata teaches the breakable zone is suitable for opening when subjected to a thrust from the outside towards the inside of the fender rather than from the inside face towards the outside face of the fender. However, the recitation that the breakable zone is "suitable" for opening when subjected to a thrust from the inside towards the outside of the fender does not serve to distinguish because it is narrative in form. The recitation is not supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. Further, it has been held that the recitation that an element is "capable of" performing a function is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. Accordingly, the breakable zone of Nakata is "suitable" for opening when subjected to a thrust from the inside towards the outside of the fender.

### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew J. Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-1362. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m..

Art Unit: 3616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul N. Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Drew J. Brown Examiner Art Unit 3616

db 4/23/07

> PAUL N. DICKSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**